

**FORTH VALLEY & LOMOND LEADER  
Local Action Group MEETING**

**Japanese Garden, nr Dollar  
10 am Meeting Start  
19 June 2019  
Minutes**

**Present:**

Douglas Johnston	Community, Stirlingshire (Chair - <b>Community</b> )
Steve MacDonald	Stirling Council – Agency
Fiona Jackson	Loch Lomond & the Trossachs National Park Authority - Agency
Lynn Hamilton	VisitScotland – Agency
Mike Ewart	<b>Community</b> , Central Scotland Green Network Trust
Julie Haslam	<b>Community</b> , Clackmannanshire TSI
Rory Mcleod	<b>Community</b> and Land Management
Bridget Clark	<b>Community</b> , Stirlingshire
Jim Livingston	Clackmannanshire Council - Agency
Sue Wyllie	<b>Community</b> , Stirlingshire
Brian McColgan	West Dunbartonshire Council – Agency
Janice Kennedy	Scottish Enterprise – Agency
Simon Orr	<b>Community</b> and Business, STEP

**Apologies:**

Caroline Paterson	Claims Officer
Shaun Marley	LEADER Community Broadband Officer

**In Attendance:**

Anne-Michelle Ketteridge	LEADER Programme Manager
Carolyn McGill	LEADER Development Officer – Joint Minute Taker
Nikki Kenn	LEADER Development Officer – Joint Minute Taker
Ashley Robinson	FVL Local Food & Drink Co-ordinator
Jason Clark	LEADER Cycle Tourism Officer

	ACTIONS
<b>1. Welcome and Sederunt</b>  DJ welcomed everyone to the meeting. Introductions were made to new LAG member Simon Orr, from STEP.	

<p><b>2. Quorum and Conflicts of Interest</b></p> <p>DJ asked AMK if the meeting was quorate. She confirmed that with 7 community members present, the LAG meeting was quorate.</p> <p>AMK reported on conflicts of interest with today's projects for assessment:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Elin Isaksson Glass and her 'Permanent Home for Glass Blowing Studio' – no conflicts of interest.</li> <li>2. Shona and Bruce Dunan's 'Gartness Glamping' – no conflicts of interest</li> <li>3. Japanese Garden at Cowden Castle's Japanese Teahouse – no conflicts of interest</li> <li>4. Gilbert and Sheila Bannerman's Old Manse Farm Glamping – no conflicts of interest</li> <li>5. Laura Robertson's 'The Arns Glamping' – no conflicts of interest</li> <li>6. Central Scotland Green Network Trust's John Muir Way – Creating a Sustainable Income Stream and Developing the Brand – note of Central Scotland Green Network's interest as the applicant, Stirling Council, West Dunbartonshire Council, Loch Lomond and the Trossachs and Scottish Enterprise as project partners.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>3. Minutes of Last Meeting and Matters Arising</b></p> <p>DJ asked if anyone had any comments in relation to the accuracy of the Minutes of the meeting in March 2019, however it was then realised that the version emailed out was not the complete version so was not approved at meeting.</p>	<p>FULL set of <b>MINUTES to be emailed out by AMK</b></p>
<p><b>4. Financial Update and Proposed Process for Assessing Projects</b></p> <p>AMK had previously circulated the budget allocation report advising that the LAG had £2,310 remaining in the main programme, prior to any decisions made today, and £161,823 in the rural enterprise pot. If all projects are approved today there will be £27,330 over allocated in the main programme, however this would be deducted from the allocated funds for Bowling as agreed at the last LAG meeting. Funds would still remain in the rural enterprise and farm diversification pot - £37,047.</p> <p>AMK explained some of the key issues for consideration re funding allocations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The Bowling infrastructure project of £290k still has to confirm its match funding and so does not show as committed on LARCS</li> <li>- The new central cooperation fund is now open to bidding but only to those LAGs who meet all criteria – FVL does not meet fully as one criteria is that we have fully committed the main fund.</li> </ul> <p>Options explained by AMK:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Bowling Commitment. The options are to reduce our commitment here, or wait for match funding documentation to come through</li> <li>- Increase funds to existing projects</li> <li>- Cover excess gaps in projects coming in to us – Lower Station Yard and Japanese Tea House for example.</li> <li>- Agree to assess specific projects between meetings.</li> <li>- A mix and match of the above</li> </ul> <p>SMacD asked question re when the delivery time for Bowling becomes a risk?  DJ replies that the risk is the LAG not having enough time to allocate the funds to anyone else in the remaining time. AMK was asked about other projects possibly coming forward in the near future. She replied there was a fair number – although there was no guarantee they would all come forward with applications. There is one definite one which was the extension to the Cycle Tourism Officer. AMK added that the LAG didn't need to take decisions just now as these are points that could be considered whilst today's projects were being assessed.</p>	

<p>The LAG stated that they needed to make a decision about Bowling as it was now impacting on the LAG’s ability to draw down extra funds to the area. BMcC asked if he can have some time to convey the urgency to Scottish Canals via WD Council. The LAG agreed they would give the project until the end of June to confirm their match funding breakdown, continuing to reduce the allocation as other projects come forward which the LAG wants to support.</p>	
<p><b>5. Projects for Assessment</b></p> <p><b>5.1 Elin Isaksson Glassblowing Studio</b> (Rural Enterprise)</p> <p>CMcG presented the project to the LAG, starting with some examples of the type of glassworks Elin Isaksson produces, some history to the business and then showed the proposed plans for the studio. The project is a unique creative industries application – the applicant has shown real commitment to her art and her business and is now wanting support for a capital project to enable her to secure the future of her glass blowing business.</p> <p>The LAG asked how the applicant proposed to upskills others – CMcG answered that she already works with students and proposes to allow people to use her studio – as there is nothing else like it in the area and currently fellow artists have to travel out of the area for the equipment she plans to purchase. The LAG also asked what would happen if she sold the house which will be next door to the studio. CMcG replied that there is a 5 year clawback with LEADER support but she also thought it unlikely given how long the applicant has been looking for a new house for her family.</p> <p>DJ thanked CMcG for the presentation and asked the LAG for further comments and questions. The LAG first discussed whether Dunblane should be considered rural. LAG agreed that this depended on the type of the project and whether the project would give benefit to the wider rural area – and given the Creative Industries was a sector of the rural economy the LAG wanted to support but had few applications so far. The LAG were supportive of the project and noted that it had scored very highly on Innovation and Pilot projects. Another question raised related to the marketing strategy and tie in with tourism and community. CMcG explained the community has access via free taster classes, also free school taster sessions to introduce this art to young generation, and that due to its niche market the applicant has no problem filling classes. Elin exhibits in galleries throughout the country where she will be able to promote her studio and classes. AMK added that she had visited the LEADER funded Central Scotland School of Jewellery recently who had feedback that they had noticed a lot of people purchased vouchers for classes as gifts. This was acknowledged by the LAG as a growing market for ‘different experiences’.</p> <p>There was then some discussion about the different ways the project might engage with the wider community, although it was agreed that the LAG did not want to be too prescriptive, but discussed how they may incorporate this into a condition. It was agreed to request a commitment to engaging with community and then to report back in a year’s time</p>	<p><u>LAG Decision:</u> Approved with Specific Conditions</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Planning Permission</b></li> <li>2. <b>Commitment to engage with community</b></li> <li>3. <b>Report back in 12 months on community activity</b></li> </ol>
<p><b>5.2 The Arns Glamping</b> (Rural Enterprise)</p> <p>CM presented to the LAG – showing some photos of the smallholding and a map of the location as well as photo of types of glamping pods proposed – the applicant proposed to build 4 eco pods on a small holding on Sherrifmuir on outskirts of Bridge of Allan area. The area is very rural (not in town) and has great views. The project has already secured its planning permission and match funding from the bank.</p>	

Comments raised:

The business plan is not very detailed. The marketing of the pods will be undertaken by a student at Stirling University which the applicant has already organised. The design is to have minimal impact. Query about the house intended to be built – CM explained that the house was to come further down the line and thus would have not impact initially on visitors. Later the idea was to offer stays to people interested in helping/learning about building an eco house as it will be off grid. Will be marketed as a small holding stay so will be attractive to tourists as well as individuals interested in learning to self-build or run a small-holding.

DJ thanked CM for the presentation and asked the LAG for questions.

It was agreed that there would be a short discussion on glamping projects in general before being specific to this particular project. It was felt that the term ‘glamping’ was being misused in many cases as many of the pods proposed were standard pods – nothing particularly ‘glamorous’ about them – although in certain places where budget accommodation was required the more basic pod was appropriate. The economic benefit of glamping projects was then discussed as there was a worry that small glamping developments would have minimal employment generation potential. It was agreed that projects would have to have a minimum of 4 pods to be viewed as a business from the LAG’s perspective. It was also discussed whether farms diversifying into glamping should be viewed differently from individuals or businesses doing the same, as in the former it was specifically to help farms sustain their agricultural businesses when for others it could be just a hobby business. It was also noted that with previous glamping projects supported by the LAG the LAG had required at least one of the pods/accommodation units to be accessible for wheelchair users and it was agreed that for consistency all glamping projects would require this as would the requirement for community engagement.

Returning to the Arns project specifically, it was noted that BofA is an affluent area so it was questioned what benefit there would be to that community. However it was noted that that livestock experience is a positive aspect of the project and the putting aside two weekends a year for adults with learning difficulties and their carers was to be encouraged.

Decision:

‘Approved with Specific Conditions’

1. At least one of the pods to be accessible for disabled users
2. Commitment to engage with the community
3. Report on community activity after 12 months

<p><b>5.3 Gartness Glamping (Farm Diversification)</b></p> <p>This glamping project was presented by CMcG showing photographs of the field where the farm proposes to locate the pods – adjacent to the small road and footpaths - flagging up that the project has a unique position in that it sits on a cross section of 3 of our strategic routes – the West Highland Way, John Muir Way and National Cycle Network Route 7 - situated between Croftamie and Drymen. The applicant came up with the idea after so many walkers asking to camp in their field over the years, and the family have been considering their diversification options in preparation for expected changes to agricultural payments. CMcG advises that the business plan shows the enterprise to be in profit in 2 years.</p> <p>DJ thanked CMcG and invited the LAG to discuss the project. The project had scored quite high on economic impact and there was general support for the project as it was a farm diversification project and it would create employment opportunities and develop links with other local businesses. It was also noted that they were working with the West Central Monitor Farm on Agri-tourism in Luss, which can only help the farm business develop. There was a query re need for funding as the bank account looked healthy – answered by CMcG who stated that the farm was preparing itself for the future insecurity of farming after Brexit. It was also commented that they could just have received their farm payment so bank account figure wasn't that useful a measure of need. The question was asked about the accessibility of the site as the LAG would expect at least one of the pods to be accessible, and the site. Community engagement and benefit was also discussed – could they involve the schools on the wider farm if not in the glamping business itself? The LAG didn't want to be prescriptive and thought it better for the farm business explore what they could do to engage with the local community. It was also discussed whether the LAG should require the business to take a bank loan or not. After some discussion it was felt that the farm business was right to be nervous about the future of agricultural payments, so the LAG could not insist on them taking a bank loan if they had good reason not to.</p>	<p><u>Decision:</u> 'Approved with Specific Conditions'</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Subject to planning permission</li> <li>2. At least one of the pods is to be accessible for disabled users</li> <li>3. A commitment to engage with the community</li> <li>4. Report back in 12 months on community activity undertaken</li> </ol>
<p><b>5.4 Old Manse Farm Glamping (Farm Diversification)</b></p> <p>NK presented this project to the LAG, starting with a photograph of the site within walking distance of Balmaha, but also on the West Highland Way. She explained how the applicant had identified their market as budget accommodation for WHW walkers and family stays. Similar to the previous project, this proposed glamping project was viewed by the applicants as vital diversification for farm's sustainability. The proposal submitted to the LAG is a first phase of a 2 phase plan, meeting a need for a growing need for WHW accommodation. The planning application is for 8 pods, but only 4 plus reception pod are being developed in this phase.</p> <p>NK added that she had discussed the marketing of the glamping business with the applicant, and they had previously run a B+B from their farmhouse and all their custom came from passing walkers, so they were very positive about getting bookings from passing visitors, although they plan to develop their website and social media, and also use the WHW website. NK had also put them in touch with Gartness Glamping and had talked about joint marketing with them.</p> <p>As an update, NK advised that the farm and has just lodged its planning application. The pre-planning advice has been supportive. The business owners also sit on the West of Scotland Agri-Tourism farm working group.</p> <p>The LAG thought discussed the accommodation shortage along the WHW, particularly budget accommodation and thought this a well developed proposal, strong on Economic Impact and Value for Money given the £25k LEADER contribution which would build 4 pods and a reception building.</p>	<p><u>Decision:</u> 'Approved with Specific Conditions'</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Subject to planning permission and SEPA approval</li> <li>2. At least one of the pods is to be accessible for disabled users</li> <li>3. A commitment to engage with the community</li> </ol>

	4. Report back in 12 months on community activity undertaken
<p><b>5.5 Japanese Teahouse</b></p> <p>CMcG presented this project to the LAG, to be located here in the Japanese Garden in Clacks - although noting that it hadn't been the intention to present the project at this meeting but in discussion with AMK thought we should bring it forward in order to meet the Scottish Government's deadlines for reallocation of funding centrally.</p> <p>She started off by explaining that the Tea House would be somewhere to undertake traditional tea ceremonies, not a café, which will continue to run from the portacabin until the Gardens were ready to build a permanent café. Re-instatement of the tea-house is a priority project as it required to be re-instated as part of Historic Environment Scotland's protected site listings in its Inventory of Gardens and designed landscapes. So the Teahouse will add to the cultural and historic experience in the Japanese Gardens – it was an original feature of the gardens and the family behind the gardens have long wanted to reinstate this as this was somewhere Ella Christie used to come for tea ceremonies. The single storey ornamental Tea House will be used for ticket sales, display and educational purposes.</p> <p>An application to HLF has been submitted and awaiting decision – although the remaining private donations are all in place. This is part of a larger planned restoration project, and LEADER funding will unlock funds from HLF whose funding requires the LEADER contribution. Planning permission has already been awarded.</p> <p>The LAG really liked the project, agreeing that it would enhance the visitor experience, as people will travel a great distance to visit these unique gardens – and the teahouse will add to the appeal of the gardens. More visitor numbers will have on knock on benefit to other businesses in the area. Given that the LAG previously funded the entrance way to the gardens, the LAG had some knowledge of the project, and were impressed with what had been achieved since. They were also impressed with the wide ranging fundraising the project had done with the previous project and also this one. The discussion reflected the scores, where the project had scored very highly on Economic Impact and Innovation.</p> <p>When the LAG approved the project, AMK asked the LAG if they wanted to use funds from the Rural Enterprise or the main Community pot. The LAG agreed that the project could be funded from either, as there were both business and community benefits, so decided to award it from the Rural Enterprise pot.</p>	<p><u>Decision:</u> 'Approved Subject to Match Funding Confirmed'</p>
<p><b>5.6 John Muir Way – Creating a Sustainable Income Stream (Co-operation non-lead)</b></p> <p><b>As the applicant organisation, ME left the room, as did SMaC, BMcC, JK, and FJ as representatives of the organisations on the project steering group.</b></p> <p>AMK presented this project to the LAG, explaining that this project is in part an extension to the previous John Muir Way Marketing and Business Engagement project and part a new area of activity in terms of developing an income generation business plan, a year long user survey and a contract to add a paid ad the website. The lead LAG is Kelvin Valley &amp; Falkirk LAG.</p>	

<p>Applicant seeking 100% funding with £29,640 requested from FVL, on the basis that a bid would be made (by KVF) for additional funds on our behalf.</p> <p>The LAG discussed to impact of the John Muir Way in our area with the more wilderness part of the route crossing our area, but noted the route is not a wilderness route as the name would imply – the strength of the route is its accessibility and the attraction to the communities through which it passes – as day walks more so than end to end walks.</p> <p>It was also noted that it's in the area's interest that all accommodation and hospitality businesses on the route should be visible on website and that the website should tie in with surrounding attractions more – the current project is too blinkered on the route and on end to end walkers.</p> <p>It was also pointed out that information on accessible sections of the route was needed as growing numbers of people look for accessible walking and cycle routes.</p> <p>Nobody thought it likely that the route would generate enough income to cover management costs of the route, but thought it good that the project was exploring some income generation, just concerned that there was a risk of focussing on too narrow a market. It was noted that the business plan brief was very brief – too brief for a £35k business plan. There was also a view that the ordering of activity wasn't ideal, as it would make more sense to do the user analysis first before working on the business plan – but at least the income generation and website development activity should target a much wider range of groups, including the short stay and day trip market. There was a question raised about whether the need for more money was due to the initial project not achieving what it set out to do. AMK explained that the income generation was a new aspect, and was not in the first project.</p> <p>After some discussion, it was agreed that whilst the LAG was supportive of the aims of the project, a subgroup of the LAG could usefully meet to discuss the business plan and website briefs and feedback to the applicant, and devolve the subgroup to sign off the LAG's approval when they are happy with the wording of the respective briefs.</p> <p>ME, SMac, BMcC, JK and FJ returned to the room.</p>	<p><u>Decision</u> Project Approval devolved to subgroup made of DJ, LH, SW, BC</p>
<p><b>5. Projects Requiring Further Funds or Changes</b></p> <p><b>6.1 Bowling Viaduct</b> Without being able to confirm a breakdown of match funding from Sustrans for their project, the LAG hasn't been able to formally commit its grant to Bowling, so according to the Scottish Government this funding is still available to other projects and so the LAG cannot apply for any extra funds for the area. On the basis of the discussion earlier in the meeting, the LAG agreed to withdraw the grant unless the match funding breakdown could be supplied before end of July. In the meantime, the LAG would reduce the grant as other projects came forward which the LAG wanted to support.</p> <p><b>6.2 Lower Station Yard</b> The LAG noted that the applicant had not been successful with their match funding and noted that Stirling Council is working with the applicant to revise the scope of the project.</p> <p><b>6.3 Cycle Tourism Project</b> This had been discussed earlier in the meeting, and it was noted that the request for additional funds would allow the area to be represented at the Eurobike event in Germany in 2020. The request for £24k additional funds was approved. It was also noted that a</p>	

<p>co-operation project would be invited to come forward -to take a group of local stakeholders from our area, the Cairngorms section of NCRN 7 and the Caledonia Way in Argyll over to Eurobike too. <u>The LAG agreed to assess this or any cooperation projects between meetings if need to be to meet any SG deadlines announced.</u></p> <p><b>6.4 Leny Falls</b> The project has not been able to get planning permission due to an issue with the road crossing to the car park, so the Landscape Partnership group have proposed an alternative car park, at Bocastle, as their entry point to the bridge and walkway. They have asked the LAG to approve this change and request the inclusion of the cost of the bridge in the overall project. The LAG were concerned about the delay to the implementation of the project, particularly as they don't yet have accurate costings for the project, planning permission or all their landowner permissions in place. The LAG held off with any approvals of the changes until these are in place.</p> <p><b>6.5 Bonniebunks</b> Works on this project had to stop at the start of the tourism season, so only 2 of the rooms have been completed so far, but are already being booked. The applicant have requested to start works again in the Autumn so they can complete before end of April 2020, putting them a year behind schedule. This was approved by the LAG.</p>	
<p><b>7 Update from LAG Strategic Projects</b></p> <p><b>7.1 Local Food and Drink Co-ordinator</b> AR gave a presentation on activities that have been underway in the Local Food and Drink project, starting with the launch of the strategy which took place on 6 June which included speakers from key local food businesses to represent each of the four themes of the strategy as well as some food related workshops. There was also a school poster competition to design the logo for the Farm Food Festival in October. AR showed the winning entry. The launch seemed well received with the numbers who attended and feedback received afterwards. She then talked about the Regional Food Partnership which she is involved with, including a lot of other agencies. The Regional Food Partnership could be a long term home for food activity &amp; projects. The first business network meeting is scheduled for 23 July.</p> <p><b>7.2 Foraging Fortnight and #Wildwonders</b> NR gave quick update on the activities within the Foraging Fortnight project and #Wildwonders. Foraging Fortnight takes place between 31 August – 15 Sept 2019 then first fortnight in May 2020 (September in Orkney 2020). During that fortnight, the Wild Food Festival will take place on Cardross Estate on Saturday 14 September 2019 (again in May 2020). As part of #Wildwonders, we are hosting a visit from the project participants in Finland and Latvia and they will be arriving on Friday 13 – Wednesday 18 September 2019. NK flagged up that it would great if any LAG members would be available to meet with the visitors over dinner – if any members would be interested, could they let NK know? Also it would be very helpful if LAG members could spread the word about the festival through their respective networks.</p> <p><b>7.3 Cycle Tourism</b> JC gave a presentation on what is planned for the Cycle Tourism project. He has been building up a library of marketing content/resources to share with partners/businesses to promote cycling experiences in the area. He's also been working on business engagement, working with Sustrans to establish business clusters along Lochs and Glens North (NCN7), encouraging promotion of route and upskilling businesses to meet the needs of leisure cyclist visitors. He is also working with Aberfoyle Cycling Group to produce an A3 tear off route map for Aberfoyle as part of their promotion of gravel cycling in the area and also to coincide</p>	<p>Action AR</p> <p>Action: LAG Members to contact NK</p>

<p>with the Dukes Weekender event at the beginning of September. He has also been working with Sustrans on the promotion of Accessible Cycle Tourism, particularly on a stretch of route 7 just outside Callander where he arranged for an engineer from Sustrans to come and look at removing barriers along the route, which they have agreed to do. More recently he has been looking at e-bike tourism, where he is pulling together a call to action on e-bikes for tourism, together with other partners on the project steering group and others interested in ebiking such as the Countryside Trust who he is working with to produce a map of ebike routes.</p> <p><b>7.4 Community Broadband</b></p> <p>As Shaun was not able to attend, AMK talked to a slide on activities undertaken by the project since the last LAG meeting. This included helping to plan for and access funds provided by Stirling Council for broadband infrastructure costs.</p> <p>4 projects are now live: Balquhidder, Argarty &amp; Kilbryde, Stockiemuir and Carron Valley.</p> <p>2 project are currently under construction: Trossachs (Brig O Turk) and Auchinteck.</p> <p>4 are at a design stage: Blairlogie, Gartness, East Loch Lomond and Stronachlachar.</p> <p>8 are exploring solutions: Arnprior, Crianlarich, Fintry, Killearn, Killin, Kinbuck, Kinlochard and Tyndrum</p>	
<p>8 AOB</p> <p>DJ asked if anyone had any other business for discussion. There was none so he thanked everyone for attending and asked if the 9 October suited everyone for the next full meeting. This was agreed.</p> <p>The meeting then stopped for lunch with the session after lunch focussing on discussion regarding a future strategy for the LAG.</p>	
<p>LH welcomed everyone back after lunch and introduced the afternoon’s topic for discussion which was the result of some discussion the vice chairs and chair had been having regarding how to be prepared for a future when there was so much uncertainty regarding Brexit and what will happen next for funding and support for rural communities and businesses. At the same time, the UN had published their Sustainable Development Goals, and DJ had been struck by how many of these goals mirrored the objectives of LEADER and FVL LAG’s strategy in particular. LH handed over to DJ who took the LAG through a presentation on a possible future strategy for the LAG based on these Sustainable Development Goals.</p> <p>LAG members were all very interested in this approach, with many commenting on the advantage of doing this so that the area can be ready for any funds that may become available, but also aligning to the Sustainable Development Goals which not only were very relevant to our area, but were also goals that were not tied to any political decision making.</p> <p>AMK added that if the LAG wanted to do this, it could do this as a project, but rather than contracting out to a consultant it would probably fit better with the LAG’s way of working to embed the work within the existing LEADER team, maybe running a series of community workshops on how these SD goals could be interpreted and measured at a local level, but ensuring that the good contacts and knowledge the LAG had with local communities and businesses could be used as a starting point for the work.</p> <p>The LAG liked the idea of embedding the planning work with the LEADER team and DJ suggested convening a subgroup to look at this in more detail as it was also suggested the work might include other elements too such as producing another Celebrating FVL projects publication or maybe a video of the LAG’s achievements and projects.</p>	<p><u>LAG Planning for the Future</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Agree LAG should develop a strategy for the area to be ready for any calls for funding</li> <li>2. Agree the development strategy should be based around the UN Sustainable Development Goals</li> <li>3. Agree to set up a subgroup of the LAG to oversee the development of this piece of work, including writing a brief.</li> </ol>

	<p>Volunteers to let AMK know.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>4. Subgroup to submit a LEADER application</li><li>5. LAG agrees to assess project between meetings.</li></ol>
--	---